3D-Printable Fluoropolymer Gas Diffusion Layers for CO₂ Electroreduction
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The electroreduction of catalysts operating in high current density regimes is incompletely understood, is how the GDL modulates product distributions. Chemical reduction of CO₂ to ethylene (C₂H₄) and ethanol (C₂H₅OH) offer a pathway to producing commodity chemicals used in the field of electrochemistry to study the CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR), they are mass-transport limited as a result of the low solubility of CO₂ in the electrolyte. [4,5] This reduces the productivity, or current density—the rate at which the reaction can proceed. Furthermore, as a batch process, it precludes the scale-up of the reaction.

Utilizing carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions to create value-added products is an attractive approach to reduce net greenhouse gas emissions.[1–3] In particular, processes such as the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to ethylene (C₂H₄) and ethanol (C₂H₅OH) offer a pathway to producing commodity chemicals without fossil fuels when they are powered using low-carbon electricity. While traditional H-cell reactors have been widely used in the field of electrochemistry to study the CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR), they are mass-transport limited as a result of the low solubility of CO₂ in the electrolyte.[4,5] This reduces the productivity, or current density—the rate at which the reaction can proceed. Furthermore, as a batch process, it precludes the scale-up of the reaction.

Recent strategies to overcome these mass-transport limitations include flow reactors for CO₂RR. Notably, recent developments have identified the influence of overlayers at the catalyst:electrolyte interface to enhance ethylene or ethanol production.[13,14] The CO₂ concentration in the local electrochemical environment of catalytic sites has also been shown to affect the product distribution of copper catalysts.[15] Parameters such as the catalyst layer thickness and porosity, CO₂ feed concentration, and feed flow rate are avenues to tune the local CO₂ concentration, and thus control the product distribution.[16] However, beyond the electroreduction of CO from CO₂,[17] the implications of GDL design to CO₂RR targeting multicarbon (Cₓ)(products are not yet fully understood. The GDL is not expected to affect intrinsic catalytic properties, but rather modulate the transport of gaseous reactants (CO₂) and products (CO, CH₄, C₂H₄) to and away from the catalyst layer, consequently altering selectivity. CO is a particularly interesting species as it can undergo the CO reduction reaction (CORR), is a reaction intermediate for C–C coupling, and uses the same Cu catalyst as CO₂RR to make C₂, products.[18,19]

With this in mind, we developed a porous fluoropolymer system that meets the porosity and hydrophobicity requirements of GDLs while imparting additional 3D printing capabilities. We use this tunable material to investigate how structural parameters such as porosity, microstructure, and macrostructure impact species transport and further modulate the product distribution during CO₂RR. We then deploy these insights to...
create the first 3D-printed fluoropolymer gas diffusion electrodes (GDE, Figure 1a) by coating a conformal Cu catalyst layer (CL) on the structured GDL.

Recently, Rapp et al. described a superhydrophobic porous coating derived from perfluoropolyether (PFPE) networks obtained through UV curing diacrylate monomers dissolved in fluorocarbon solvents. These PFPE monomers are commercially available with a variety of reactive end groups and have been described and utilized for their hydrophobicity in many applications including paints, coatings, and soft lithography. Inspired by this work, we developed monolithic, free-standing, porous PFPE GDLs that utilize nonvolatile
benign solvents amenable to 3D printing. The chemical structure of the PFPE monomer used here is shown alongside an SEM image of the resulting homogenously porous PFPE polymer in Figure 1d.

Monomer solution composition and curing conditions are intimately related to the physical and transport properties of these highly tunable PFPE polymers. Radical-mediated thermal or UV-curing of neat PFPE diacrylate monomers results in an impermeable hydrophobic material. However, porosity can easily be imparted into the otherwise dense polymer matrix by incorporating a pore-forming agent, or porogen. While binary PFPE monomer/porogen systems have been investigated, the ones explored here produced impermeable GDLs; detailed monomer solution development is discussed in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). Ternary solutions consisting of a monomer and two porogens with compositions at the cloud point—the intermediate region between the one-phase and two-phase regions on the solution phase diagram (Figure 1b)—produced UV-cured membranes with permeances on par with commercially available materials like ePTFE (Figure 1c). This homogenous PFPE (H-PFPE), shown in Figures 1d and 2a,b, was formed using low intensity (~5 mW cm⁻², 365 nm) UV light to create a uniform, highly porous, and well-interconnected skeletal polymer network as has been described for other photopolymerized monomer/porogen systems.[25] Utilizing nonvolatile porogens in this way allows the creation of a variety of structures through conventional and advanced manufacturing methods (Figure 1e and Figure S4, Supporting Information). All material configurations were shown to be hydrophobic through water contact angle (WCA) analysis (Figure S9, Supporting Information).

The relationship between GDL porosity, permeance, and CO₂RR performance is relevant to CO₂ delivery to the catalyst layer. Controlling the PFPE monomer concentration at the cloud point, while maintaining a consistent internal microstructure, gives experimental access to a simple physical tuning parameter to modulate the CO₂ permeance over four orders of magnitude (Figure 2c). To connect PFPE synthesis parameters to the CO₂RR product distribution, we implemented a copper nanoparticle catalyst layer that has been shown to enable high current densities. This formulation extends CO₂ availability to a maximal number of catalytically active Cu sites through an intimate integration of perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) ionomers within the catalyst layer.[26] Cu is the single metal catalyst that permits C–C coupling in CO₂RR to form C₂⁺ products and nanostructuring has been shown to further enhance this

![Figure 2. Permeance–performance relationship of H-PFPE.](image-url)
A 4:3 mixture of 25 nm copper nanoparticles (mass loading of 3.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\)) and PFSA ionomer solution was spray-coated onto the PFPE GDLs, resulting in a \(\approx 5\) µm thick catalyst layer (Figure 3b and Figure S10, Supporting Information). By selecting a catalyst layer with a high uptake of CO\(_2\),\(^{26}\) we make the GDL the CO\(_2\) transport limiting layer of the GDE, allowing us to study its impact on CO\(_2\)RR. This approach allows us to make the permeance through—the catalyst layer the critical parameter. 1 M KHCO\(_3\) electrolyte was used in all electrocatalytic experiments in this study to minimize the consumption of CO\(_2\) from the reaction with hydroxide ions in the electrolyte and avoid any convoluting factors.\(^{32}\) As previously shown with this catalyst layer, no statistically significant methane production was observed in any electrocatalytic experiments explored in this work.\(^{26}\)

Under a range of electrochemical potentials from \(-0.86\) to \(-1.09\) V versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), only GDLs with CO\(_2\) permeances above approximately 2000 GPU (gas permeation units) allowed enough CO\(_2\) to meet the catalyst layer and sustain CO\(_2\)RR at current densities up to 500 mA cm\(^{-2}\). For H-PFPE with permeances below this experimental threshold tested in similar high current density regimes, no significant quantities of gaseous products were measured at the outlet of the gas chamber, but rather large bubbles of H\(_2\) were generated in the catholyte chamber. At high CO\(_2\) permeances, CO production (Figure 2d) is invariable with increasing applied potential. At the lowest CO\(_2\) permeance (2290 GPU), there is a 20% reduction in Faradaic efficiency (FE) to CO when comparing the \(-1.09\) V versus RHE and \(-0.86\) V versus RHE conditions. Interestingly, the FE for ethylene (Figure 2e) is increased to 39% only by using a less permeable GDL. Figure 2f utilizes the full product distribution to highlight the shift from C\(_1\) to C\(_2\)+ products by coupling more negative applied potentials with low permeance GDLs. This is in agreement with recent literature that explores the effects of mass transport on CO\(_2\)RR selectivity.\(^{15,16}\) H-PFPE proved to be stable at \(-1.03\) V versus RHE and \(\approx 400\) mA cm\(^{-2}\) with a 10% relative increase in C\(_2\)H\(_4\) over 20 h of operation (Figure S17, Supporting Information).

Translating from planar to structured GDLs offers a number of potential advantages including the ability to vary flow and transport profiles, increased GDL:CL interfacial area, and the ability to create design-optimized structures.\(^{33}\) As proof-of-concept designs, square pyramid arrays (Figure 1e and Figure S3, Supporting Information) were utilized to double the GDL:CL

---

**Figure 3.** External Macrostructure of H-Δ-PFPE. Cross-sectional SEM images of a) a single pyramid and b) the GDL:CL interface. c) Partial current densities for C\(_2\)+ products for the H-Δ-PFPE varying height and configuration series. d) Full product distribution of H-Δ-PFPE-2.4 and total current densities for five applied potentials. Error bars represent one standard deviation from a minimum of three independent samples. e) Comparison of 2D and 3D (H-Δ-PFPE-1.6) samples from the same monomer concentration and 3D samples (H-Δ-PFPE-1.6) made from different monomer concentrations.
interfacial area while avoiding shadowing during spray-coating of the CL. These 3D-structured H-Δ-PFPE samples maintain the same highly porous skeletal microstructure as the planar H-PFPE and were fabricated from 27 wt% monomer solutions to achieve high permeances comparable to ePTFE. We prepared three arrays (Figure S3, Supporting Information) with different pyramid heights to study the effect of macrostructure and deviation from planar GDLs: H-Δ-PFPE-0.8 (0.8 mm tall, Figure S3e, Supporting Information), H-Δ-PFPE-1.6 (1.6 mm tall, Figure S3c, Supporting Information), and H-Δ-PFPE-2.4 (2.4 mm tall, Figure S3d, Supporting Information). Additionally, a staggered configuration with a 50% offset in the pyramid centers (H-Δ-PFPE-1.6S, 1.6 mm tall, Figure S3b, Supporting Information) was created to investigate the influence of catholyte flow over the GDL. We employed continuum simulations (detailed description in Figure S13 in the Supporting Information) to model the coupled, convective-diffusive mass transfer of a tracer diffusing into a flowing stream of fluid. This is a simplified representation of the diffusion of locally produced CO species to elucidate mass transfer at the surface, in the absence of any further reactions. The mass transfer is characterized by the Sherwood number (Sh) and is inversely related to surface concentration. A strong positional variation in Sh is predicted from the pyramids with local minima in the valleys. Taken together, the increase in interfacial area when translating from 2D to 3D GDLs and the positional variation in Sh motivate the experimental validation of structured H-Δ-PFPE performance.

The same uniformly conformal copper catalyst layer (~5 μm) utilized in Figure 2 is depicted in Figure 3a,b on a H-Δ-PFPE GDL. Figure 3c shows the relative increase to C2 production with an increase in pyramid height. This is attributed to the increase in interfacial area between the GDL and CL. In fact, comparing H-Δ-PFPE-1.6 to H-Δ-PFPE-2.4 and the corresponding nominal 1.38× increase in interfacial area, we observe a 1.36× increase in C2, partial current density. By staggering the pyramid positions with H-Δ-PFPE-1.6S, we observe minimal changes to the partial current density trend, suggesting that the disruption of the catholyte flow path is less critical to the reaction than initially predicted. Figure 3d shows the full product distribution of H-Δ-PFPE-2.4 and highlights a total current density of 720 mA cm−2, the highest achieved in this work. Figure 3e shows a slight improvement in the C2H4:C2 ratio when moving from planar to 3D, when all other parameters are fixed. We observe a synergistic effect when combining a lower permeance, due to a higher monomer concentration in the PFPE synthesis, and a pyramid structure to increase the C2H4:C2 ratio threefold over the planar equivalent under the same reaction conditions.

Porosity, macrostructure, and resulting gas transport are not the only parameters available to the PFPE material space. Serendipitously, we found, during the development of H-PFPE, that curing conditions dramatically alter the surface morphology of the material. A strikingly different microstructure was created when much higher intensity UV light (200 mW cm−2, 405 nm) was used to cure the ternary solutions. This large pore PFPE (LP-PFPE, Figure 4 and Figure S6, Supporting Information) is characterized by a multiphasic and bi-continuous microstructure with a dense surface layer punctuated with pores. It is likely that the high intensity light causes the photoinitiator to more effectively decompose, leading to faster polymerization and polymerization induced phase separation. Surprisingly, both H-PFPE and LP-PFPE made from the same solution have almost identical CO2 permeances (1.74 × 10−5 GPU vs 1.71 × 10−5 GPU, respectively) despite their substantial differences in surface porosity, internal microstructure, and pore volume distribution. Gas transport is hypothesized to only occur through the void space in and around the connected globules in LP-PFPE but not through the sponge-like closed-cell foam (blue arrows in Figure 4b,c) and to escape through the regions where the discretized surface pores overlap with the more open substructure underneath (Figure S7b, Supporting Information). This combination of partitioned bulk and surface morphologies results in a more uneven distribution of gaseous species to and from the catalyst layer compared to H-PFPE without starving the catalyst of CO. The CO2RR product distribution in Figure 4e highlights how the microstructure and surface porosity influence the types of reactions favored. With the same catalyst layer deposited, LP-PFPE more than doubles the C2H4 FE and significantly minimizes that of CO for similar total current densities at −1.03 V versus RHE compared to the equivalent H-PFPE. In fact, the ratio between C2H4 and CO shows a 103× increase when −1.09 V versus RHE is applied.

The influence of surface morphology on transport was investigated computationally as well. A 3D diffusion model was developed to explore gas diffusion at the GDL:CL interface for a variety of surface structures. Detailed model development is described in the Supporting Information. In this steady-state simulation, a CO tracer is generated at the triple point interface with a constant molar surface flux and then must either diffuse into the catholyte or exit the domain through the GDL as characterized by its permeance. This is an idealized situation where CO is generated at the catalyst and does not proceed through further reactions. Figure 4e,f shows the normalized tracer concentration on a model GDL surface with a discretized pore. The pore is considered a circular domain of defined permeance surrounded by a region of solid polymer with its own permeance. The ratio of mass transfer into the pore relative to the solid polymer surface is expressed as α = kϕ/kϕm, where kϕm is the mass conductance assuming a linear resistance model for diffusion within the GDL. Thus, an α of 1 corresponds to a homogeneous, uniformly conductive surface as hypothesized for H-PFPE. As the pore becomes relatively more permeable than the solid surface (α increases), a steep concentration gradient develops that produces a step change in concentration at the pore edge as highlighted in Figure 4e,f. The diffusion simulation domain is further extended away from the GDL surface as shown in Figure S14 (Supporting Information). These high α simulations are intended to reflect transport in LP-PFPE GDLs, although the exact value of α is not experimentally known. Overall, the presence of high concentration gradients and local regions of low diffusivity suggest that an increased CO residence time near the catalyst is enabled by LP-PFPE over H-PFPE materials. Increasing the residence time of locally-produced CO within the catalyst layer with LP-PFPE GDLs gives rise to the high C2H4:C2 and C2H4:CO ratios of 19 and 60, respectively—the highest from the PFPE
material system—at -1.09 V versus RHE and a total current density of 420 mA cm⁻². Adapting this surface morphology to a 3D-structured LP-Δ-PFPE GDL proved to be an interesting counterexample (Figure S12, Supporting Information). All tested variations of the LP-Δ-PFPE GDL resulted in larger surface pores that were significantly further apart than the planar LP-PFPE. Despite the similarly high CO₂ permeance, the large pore spacing in the LP-Δ-PFPE did not effectively distribute the CO₂ to the catalyst and resulted in reactant starved operating conditions.

In summary, this work is the first account of 3D-printable porous fluoropolymers for use as next-generation GDLs. Ternary PFPE mixtures were developed and fabricated into highly gas-permeable, yet hydrophobic materials with unprecedented multiscale structural control. Variation in the surface morphology, and thus the distribution of gas, was shown to shift the product selectivity towards C₂⁺ products, likely by increasing the diffusive path length and residence time of locally produced CO near the catalyst. Additionally, modifying the external morphology to create 3D structures favored C₂⁺ products compared to their planar equivalents and enabled higher partial current densities. The results show that modulating gas transport to and from the catalyst during CO₂RR through gas diffusion layer design has significantly more influence on the product distribution than previously known. Understanding how tailoring the morphology of the GDE on multiple length scales offers new insights into utilizing CO₂ to create value-added products.

**Experimental Section**

**Gas Diffusion Layer Fabrication:** GDLs were fabricated from ternary solutions of difunctional perfluoropolyether urethane methacrylate monomer Fluorolink MD700, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), and triethylene glycol (TEG). 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone (Irgacure 1173) and ethyl phenyl(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphinate (GENOCURE® TPO-L) were used as photoinitiators. Planar GDLs were formed by placing the solution between glass plates separated by a 500 µm thick rubber gasket and held together with binder clips. The assembly was UV cured for 2 min on each side with 5 mW cm⁻² 365 nm (H-PFPE) or 200 mW cm⁻² 405 nm (LP-PFPE) UV light. Pyramid-structured GDLs (H-Δ-PFPE) were fabricated using either the custom-built Large Area Projection Microstereolithography (LAPµSL) printer with a 405 nm UV digital light projection source or by curing the solution in silicone molds produced from 3D-printed casings (Formlabs Form 2). H-Δ-PFPE GDLs created by each method exhibited equivalent structure and transport properties and were used interchangeably. LP-Δ-PFPE GDLs were created in silicone molds only. Cured GDLs were placed in polypropylene tissue processing capsules before solvent exchange in acetone and supercritical drying (E3000, Polaron).
Gas Permeation Measurements: GDL permeance was measured in a custom-built isobaric gas permeation system.[9] Membrane samples were mounted onto 127 μm thick polyimide frames (Kapton HN, DuPont) with silicone glue (734 Flowable Adhesive Sealant, Dow Corning) and placed in a dead-end permeation cell. The feed consisted of room temperature (21 °C) CO₂ and the permeate flow rate was measured with mass flow meters.

Electrode Preparation: The catalyst layer was composed of a mixture of 25 nm Cu nanoparticles and Nafion ionomer (1100 EW). A nominal mass loading of 3.33 mg cm⁻² was used in a 4:3 ratio of Cu to Nafion ionomer perfluorinated resin solution. The mixture was dispersed in methanol and sonicated for at least 1 hour before spray-coating the GDL of interest.

CO₂ Reduction Experiments: All electrochemical reduction experiments were conducted in a flow cell reactor, as depicted in Figure 1a. An Autolab PGSTAT302N Potentiostat was used to apply a constant electrical potential, which was referenced to a 3 m KCl-filled Ag/AgCl reference electrode. 1 m KHCO₃ electrolyte was used as the electrolyte in all electrochemical experiments. CO₂ was delivered to the reactor at a rate of 50 sccm. Outlet gas flow was measured manually using a bubble flowmeter. A Fumasep PGSTAT302N Potentiostat was used to apply a constant electrical potential, were conducted in a flow cell reactor, as depicted in Figure 1a. An Autolab
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